

2006-2007 SES PRELIMINARY EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME: **The Learning Station**
DISTRICTS SERVED: **Gary Com. Schools**
OF STUDENTS SIGNED UP: **85** (English/Language Arts & Mathematics)

2006-2007 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: **B+**

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

SERVICE DELIVERY: **B-**

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS: **B+**

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 28%
Overall score: 3.7 out of 4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting: 100%
District recommends continuation?: 100% of districts recommend continuation

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 40%
Overall Score: 2.6 out of 4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: **B+**

SERVICE DELIVERY

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	28%
Overall score:	3.1 out of 4.0

DISTRICT REPORT:

% of districts reporting:	100%
Overall score:	100% (16/16 possible points)

PRINCIPAL REPORT:

% of principals reporting:	40%
Overall score:	2.8 out of 4.0

ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:	3.0 out of 4.0
--------------------------------------	----------------

Go to ([http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonitor0607/Learning%20Station's%2006-07%20On-site%20monitoring%20Report%20\(3-31-07\).pdf](http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonitor0607/Learning%20Station's%2006-07%20On-site%20monitoring%20Report%20(3-31-07).pdf)) to view the Onsite Monitoring Report from 2006-2007

SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE: **B-**

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS

COMPLETION RATE:	94%
% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS	100%
TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER: (see evaluation Website for a description of provider assessments)	TLS Assessment
% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS	100%
% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS: (Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at least one session)	94.1%

In order to be included in the ISTEP+ analysis provided below, students must have completed 80% of their SES sessions, must not have been retained from 2006 to 2007, and must have ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007.

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For students served by The Learning Station in 2006-2007, 80% made scale score gains on ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts, which exceeded the statewide average for all SES students. 70% made gains for Math, slightly trailing the statewide average for all SES students. 60% of students made one year's growth in English/Language Arts and Math, exceeding the statewide average in both subjects. The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ increased from 40% to 45% for English/Language Arts, and stayed the same at 50% in Math.

Category	The Learning Station (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	The Learning Station (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
# of students	20	1675	20	1645
% showing growth on ISTEP+ scale score	80%	71%	70%	73%
% showing substantial (one year's) growth on ISTEP+ scale score**	60%	49%	60%	49%
% passing ISTEP+ (2006)	40%	43%	50%	52%
% passing ISTEP+ (2007)	45%	42%	50%	51%

*Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions, were not retained from grades 2006-2007, and have ISTEP+ scores for 2006 and 2007.

**Substantial growth (one year's growth) is defined as making a large enough scale score gain to pass ISTEP+ from one year to the next.

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

When possible, each student who participated in SES, completed 80% of his or her sessions, and had ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007 was matched with a similar student who was eligible for but did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2006 ISTEP+ scale score. The charts below provide the results of the matched comparison. The matched comparison provides a context in which to place the gains or losses made by SES students. By looking at the charts below, it can be determined whether students served by this SES provider performed about the same as similar students who did not participate in SES; worse than similar students who did not participate in SES; or better than similar students who did not participate in SES. For The Learning Station, 19 matches out of 20 participating students (95%) were made for Math, and 20 matches out of 20 participating students (100%) were made for English/Language Arts.

MATHEMATICS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES	19	95%	68%	58%	12.9	53%
Not SES			79%	58%	14.3	53%

As shown in the chart above, 79% of the non-SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to 68% of the SES students. The same percentage (58%) of SES and non-SES students showed substantial (one year's) growth. In addition, the same percentage of SES and non-SES students (53%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007.

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES	20	100%	80%	60%	18.5	45%
Not SES			70%	60%	14.9	45%

As shown in the chart above, 80% of the SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to fewer (70%) of the similar, non-participating students. The same percentage of SES and non-SES students (60%) showed one year's growth, and the same percentage of students in both groups (45%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007.

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages). Additional statistical analyses, including results disaggregated by district and grade level, will be conducted in the statewide evaluation of SES 2006-2007, to be released by the fall of 2008.

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE: **B+**

OVERALL GRADE: **B**